

An Improved Synthesis of the (E,Z)-Dienoate Precursor of (+)-Damavaricin D Via a Vinylogous Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons Reaction

Sherry R. Chemler, D. Scott Coffey and William R. Roush*

Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109 and Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405
Received 1 December 1998; revised 9 December 1998; accepted 10 December 1998

Abstract: An improved synthesis of the C(1)-C(5) (E,Z)-dienoate segment of (+)-damavaricin D precursor 3 was accomplished using the unsaturated phosphonate reagent 9 in a (Z)-selective vinylogous Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In our recently completed total synthesis of (+)-damavaricin D (DmD), the C(1)-C(5) (E, Z)-dienoate unit of macrocyclization precursor 3 was installed in 24% overall yield via an eight step sequence starting from 1.¹ The (Z)-enoate unit of 2 was generated with 8: 1 selectivity using Still's (Z)-selective olefination procedure,² and the (E)-trisubstituted enoate was introduced subsequently by a conventional Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction.³ The most difficult step of this sequence was the selective DIBAL reduction of 2 that had to be performed under experimentally stringent conditions (THF, -100 °C to -78 °C) in order to minimize competitive reduction of other carbonyl functions in the molecule; the desired allylic alcohol was obtained in 62% yield along with 16% of the corresponding enal after one recycle of recovered starting material.¹ We describe herein the development of a more concise five-step route (30% overall yield) to the key dienoate intermediate 3 involving a (Z)-selective vinylogous Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction using the unsaturated phosphonate reagent 9.

In the early stages of this program we explored the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction of aldehyde 4 with the unsaturated phosphonate 5 as a route to the targeted (E,Z)-dienoate.⁴ Our best result at that time was obtained by using LHMDS in Et₂O. However, because these conditions provided the (E,Z)-dienoate 6 with only 1.5:1 selectivity, we were discouraged from attempting to apply this method to the DmD synthesis.

0040-4039/99/\$ - see front matter © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(98)02638-0 Evans and co-workers subsequently reported a successful application of this methodology in their total synthesis of (+)-macbecin.⁵ Although they also obtained low selectivity (1.5:1) in the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction of *i*-PrCHO and 5, somewhat better selectivity (2.7:1) favoring (E,Z)-8 was achieved when macbecin precursor 7 was treated with a large excess (8 equiv) of the anion generated from phosphonate 5.

This result encouraged us to reexamine the viability of this method as a route to the damavaricin precursor 3. To do so, however, required that we first develop a synthesis of the unsaturated phosphonate 9 reagent containing a β -trimethylsilylethyl ester protecting group. We had already spent considerable effort orchestrating the protecting group combinations in 3 such that the aniline and carboxylic acid units could be liberated in a single step immediately prior to the macrolactamization reaction, and we did not wish to revisit this aspect of the synthesis. The synthesis of phosphonate 9 thus began with γ -bromotiglic acid 10, obtained by bromination of tiglic acid as previously described. It was necessary to protect the acid as allyl ester 11 prior to the Arbuzov reaction as trifluoroethyl esters were obtained when acid 10 was used, and the 2-trimethylsilyl ethyl ester of 10 did not survive the Arbuzov conditions (complex mixtures were obtained). Esterification of 10 with allyl alcohol under Mitsunobu conditions afforded 11 (76%), which was then submitted to the Arbuzov reaction with 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl phosphite (10 equiv) in the presence of catalytic Bu₄NI (0.1 equiv), thereby providing phosphonate 12 in 51% yield. The allyl group was removed (90%) and the resulting acid 13 was re-esterified with β -trimethylsilylethanol, DCC, and DMAP to provide the targeted phosphonate reagent 9 (84%).

Reactions of phosphonate 9 and aldehyde 14 were explored to develop conditions that maximized selectivity for the (E,Z)-diene. Of the various base and solvent combinations examined, best results were obtained by use of potassium hexamethyldisilazide (KHMDS) in Et₂O: this combination provided (E,Z)-15 with 4.7: 1 selectivity (entry 1). Interestingly, addition of 18-crown-6 to this reaction, which in other systems serves to enhance (Z-)selectivity,² gave an 11: 1 mixture favoring(E,E)-15 (entry 2). The (E,E)-diene also predominated by a significant margin in reactions using MeMgBr as the base (entry 8). Reactions performed using n-BuLi to deprotonate 9 were only marginally selective: when performed in Et₂O or toluene (E,Z)-15 was obtined with 1.3-1.6: 1 selectivity, while the reaction with n-BuLi in THF gave a 2: 1 mixture favoring (E,E)-15. While the stereoselectivity of the olefination reactions of 14 was insensitive to the number of equivalents of the phosphonate reagent employed (entries 3-5), the stereoselectivity of these reactions is temperature sensitive and best results were obtained when the aldehyde was added slowly to the phosphonate anion at -78 °C over a 0.5 to 1.0 h period.

Encouraged by the results obtained in the reaction of 9 and 14, we explored the olefination reactions of 9 with progressively more complex substrates. However, we were quickly disappointed to discover that the reaction of 9 and 16 was non-selective using KHMDS under the conditions developed for the reaction with 14. However, a 2:1 mixture favoring (E,Z)-17 was obtained using 2 equiv of 9 with n-BuLi as the base. When the olefination of 18 was performed using 2-4 equiv of 9, (E,Z)-19 was also obtained with 2:1 selectivity. Fortunately, selectivity in this case increased to 4:1 when 18 was treated with 8 equiv of the anion generated from 9. Under these conditions, (E,Z)-19 was obtained in 45% yield along with 32% of aldehyde 18 which could be recycled. Interestingly, the olefination of 18 with phosphonate reagent 5 under comparable conditions provided a 2.7:1 mixture of (E,Z):(E,E) olefin isomers, suggesting that further modification of the ester unit may constitute a strategy to increase the stereoselectivity of these Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reactions.

Application of this methodology to an improved synthesis of 3 proceeded as follows. The carbamate functionality of 20 was introduced in 71% yield via a Curtius rearrangement 11 of the carboxylic acid generated by tris(dimethylamino)sulfonium difluorotrimethylsilicate (TAS-F, 2.2 equiv) mediated selective deprotection 12 of 1. Treatment of 20 with HF•Et₃N in refluxing CH₃CN afforded primary alcohol 21 (91%), which was subsequently oxidized using Swern conditions 13 to the corresponding aldehyde. Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination of the crude aldehyde with 10 equiv of the lithium anion of 9 in Et₂O afforded, after one recycle of the recovered aldehyde intermediate, 60% of a 4:1 mixture of (E,Z): (E,E) dienoates 3 along with 13% of recovered

aldehyde. The desired DmD intermediate, (E,Z)-3 was isolated in 47% yield by HPLC, and proved to be identical in all respects to the intermediate utilized in our DmD total synthesis. This five step sequence thus provides DmD intermediate 3 in 30% overall yield from 1.

In summary, we have developed an improved synthesis of DmD intermediate 3 from precursor 1 using the vinylogous Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reagent 9 in a (Z)-selective olefination sequence. Although it is not clear at present to what extent the reaction stereoselectivity of these vinylogous Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reactions is governed by kinetic or thermodynamic considerations,^{5,14} it is clear that synthetically useful results may be obtained by judicious selection and optimization of reaction conditions.

Acknowledgment. Support provided by the National Institutes of Health (GM 38436) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- (1) Roush, W. R.; Coffey, D. S.; Madar, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11331.
- (2) Still, W. C.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4405.
- (3) Blanchette, M. A.; Choy, W.; Davis, J. T.; Essenfeld, A. P.; Masamune, S.; Roush, W. R.; T. Sakai, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2183.
- (4) Palkowitz, A. D. Ph. D. Thesis, MIT, 1990.
- (5) Evans, D. A.; Miller, S. J.; Ennis, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 471.
- (6) Letham, D. S.; Young, H. Phytochemistry 1971, 10, 2077.
- (7) Hughes, D. L. Org. Reactions 1992, 42, 335.
- (8) Gao, L.-x.; Murai, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 4349.
- (9) Trehan, A.; Mirzadegan, T.; Liu, R. S. H. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 3769.
- (10) Dangles, O.; Guibe, F.; Balavoine, G. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4984.
- (11) Ninomiya, K.; Shioiri, T.; Yamada, S. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 2151.
- (12) Scheidt, K. A.; Chen, H.; Follows, B. C.; Chemler, S. R.; Coffey, D. S.; Roush, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6463.
- (13) Tidwell, T. T. Synthesis 1990, 857.
- (14) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 863.